



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,258	01/03/2002	Henning Moller	31653-177198	9410

26694 7590 01/27/2004

VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP
P.O. BOX 34385
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998

EXAMINER

DOLE, TIMOTHY J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2858

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AA

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/034,258

Applicant(s)

MOLLER ET AL.

Examiner

Timothy J. Dole

Art Unit

2858

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Timothy J. Dole.

(3) _____.

(2) Chad Anderson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 January 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lowitz (USP 4,707,652).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 1 and 16 were discussed regarding the interpretation, with respect to Lowitz, of the two dimensional value range.
Also, claims 1 and 16 were discussed as to the possibility of adding the limitation of the microwave field being in a microwave resonator.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Timothy J. Dole
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Timothy J. Dole
Examiner's signature, if required